arXiv:1404.3488v1 [math.DG] 14 Apr 2014

The Landsberg equation of a Finsler space*

Ming Xu[1] and Shaoqiang Deng[2†]

[1]College of Mathematical Sciences
Tianjin Normal University
Tianjin 300387
People's Republic of China

[2]School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071
People's Republic of China

Abstract

Given a Finsler space, we introduce a system of partial differential equations, called the Landsberg equation. Based on a careful analysis of the Landsberg equation and the observation that the solution space is invariant under the linear isometries of the tangent Minkowski spaces, we prove that an (α_1, α_2)-metric of the Landsberg type must be a Berwald metric. This shows that the hunting for a unicorn, one of the longest standing open problem in Finsler geometry, cannot be successful even in the very broad class of (α_1, α_2)-metrics.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 22E46, 53C30.

Key words: Finsler space, (α_1, α_2)-metric, Landsberg equation, Landsberg metric, Berwald metric.

1 Introduction

The goal of this article is to consider a long standing open problem in Finsler geometry in the special class of (α_1, α_2)-metrics. In Finsler geometry, there are two classes of special metrics which draw the attention of all the researchers in this field. Finsler spaces of the first type are called Landsberg spaces, which were studied by G. Landsberg over one hundred years ago and were then named after him. Another type are called Berwald spaces, which were named after L. Berwald, who made significant progress in Finsler geometry in the 1920's, in particular introduced the Berwald connection and two Berwald tensors. It is well known that every Berwald space must be a Landsberg space. However, it has been one of the longest standing problem in Finsler geometry whether there exists a Landsberg space which is not a Berwald space. In 1996, Matsumoto ([11])

*Supported by NSFC (no. 11271216, 11271198, 11221091), Doctor fund of Tianjin Normal University (no. 52XB1305) and SRFDP of China

†S. Deng is the corresponding author. E-mail: dengsq@nankai.edu.cn

found a list of rigidity results which almost suggest that such metric does not exist. In 2003, Matsumoto emphasized this problem again and looked on it as the most important open problem in Finsler geometry. Recently, D. Bao called such spaces *unicorns* in Finsler geometry ([2]), mythical single-horned horse-like creatures which exist in legend but have never been seen by human beings. There are a lot of unsuccessful attempts to find explicit examples to solve this problem. The only significant step toward this problem is that some people constructed some examples of non-Berwaldian Landsberg metrics which are either $y-local$ or not regular everywhere, i.e., metrics that either are defined not on the whole tangent bundles or have some singularities (see [1]), which are not Finsler metrics in the strict sense. On the other hand, R. Bryant has announced in several occasions that in two-dimension, there is an abundance of such metrics, but in a bit more generalized sense, see [5] for the definition of generalized Finsler metrics. Also, Bao has advocated a perturbative approach to search for unicorns, see [2], [3] for more information.

In some special cases, this difficult problem is relatively simple and can be handled using some techniques. It is well-known that a Randers metric is of Landsberg type if and only if it is a Berwald metric (see, e.g., [4]). In [13], Z. Shen proved that a regular (α, β)-metric on M with $\dim M > 2$ is Landsberg if and only it is Berwald. An (α, β)-metric can be expressed as $F = \alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})$, where α is a Riemannian metric, β is a one-form and ϕ is a smooth real function on $\mathbb{R}^1$. Shen's proof relies on many complicated calculations and the method does not apply to the general cases. Shen also constructed some examples of (α, β)-metrics which are almost regular, and which are Landsberg but not Berwald.

More recently, Szabo made an argument to prove that any regular Landsberg space must be of the Berwald type ([15]). However, Matveev soon pointed out in [12] that there is a gap in Szabo's argument. As pointed out in Szabo's correction to [15], his argument only applies to the so called dual Landsberg spaces. Hence the *unicorn* problem remains open in Finsler geometry. Taking into account of so many unsuccessful efforts of so many researchers, one can say that this problem is becoming more and more puzzling.

In this paper, we show that a Landsberg metric of the (α_1, α_2)-type must be a Berwald metric. The notion of (α_1, α_2)-metrics was introduced by the authors in the previous paper [10]. Our proof relies on a careful study of a system of linear partial differential equations, which is called the Landsberg equation and can be defined for any Finsler space. Since (α_1, α_2)-metrics are a very broad class of Finsler metrics, we believe that this technique can be applied to more generalized classes and will eventually lead to a complete solution of the unicorn problem.

The main results of this paper are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *The S-curvature of any Landsberg (α_1, α_2)-metric vanishes identically.*

Theorem 1.2 *Any Landsberg (α_1, α_2)-space is a Berwald space.*

We remark here that logically Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of Theorem 1.2, since any Berwald space must have vanising S-curvature (see [6]). However, our proof of the second theorem relies heavily on the techniques developed in the proof of the first one. Furthermore, the calculation involved in the proof for Theorem 1.2 is essentially much

harder, because Shen's theorem in [13] has been applied. Therefore we state the first result as a separate theorem.

One interesting insight of this paper is that at any point an (α_1, α_2)-metric possesses much symmetry, and this is the main point in the proof of the main results. Based on this observation, we make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3 *A homogeneous Landsberg space must be a Berwald space.*

Note that in the symmetric case the conjecture is automatically true (see [9]). We refer the reader to [8] for fundamental properties of homogeneous Finsler spaces.

In Section 2 we give the necessary preliminaries and some known results. Section 3 is devoted to defining the normal coordinates for (α_1, α_2)-metrics. In Section 4, we consider the S-curvature of (α_1, α_2)-metrics. In Section 5, we develop the theory of Landsberg equation for a general Finsler space. Finally, in Sections 6 and 7, we complete the proof of the main results of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Minkowski norm and Finsler metric

Let $\mathbf{V}$ be an n-dimensional real vector space, with a basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and linear coordinates $y = y^i e_i$. A Minkowski norm F on $\mathbf{V}$ is a continuous real function F on $\mathbf{V}$ satisfying the following conditions.

1. $F(y) \geq 0$, $\forall y \in \mathbf{V}$ and the equality hold if and only if $y = 0$.
2. $F(\lambda y) = \lambda F(y)$, for any $\lambda > 0$.
3. F is smooth on the slit space $\mathbf{V}\backslash\{0\}$, and the Hessian matrix
$$(g_{ij}(y)) = \left(\frac{1}{2}[F^2(y)]_{y^i y^j}\right)$$
is positive-definite for any $y \in \mathbf{V}\backslash\{0\}$.

A Finsler metric on an n-dimensional smooth manifold M is a real continuous function F on TM such that F is smooth on $TM\backslash 0$ and the restriction of F to each tangent space is a Minkowski norm. Generally, we call (M, F) a Finsler space or a Finsler manifold.

Here are some well known examples.

A Finsler manifold (M, F) is called Riemannian, if F is a quadratic function of y-coordinates in each tangent space. In this case the Hessian matrices define a smooth global section of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(TM^*)$ which is usually referred to as the Riemannian metric.

A Randers metric is a Finsler metric of the form $F = \alpha + \beta$, where α is a Riemannian metric and β a 1-form whose length with respect to α is everywhere less than 1. Randers metrics are the most important class of non-Riemannian metrics in the study of Finsler geometry and its applications.

Another special class of Finsler metrics are (α, β)-metrics, which can be viewed as the generalization of Randers metrics. An (α, β)-metric is a Finsler metric of the form

$F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$, where ϕ is a positive smooth function defined on an interval $(-b, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$, and α and β are respectively a Riemannian metric and a one-form on M. There are a lot of research work about (α, β)-metrics in recent years. See [6] for an exposition.

Recently we introduced another generalization of Randers metrics and (α, β)-metrics, called (α_1, α_2)-metrics ([10]). Now we briefly recall the definition of (α_1, α_2)-metrics. Let M be an n-dimensional manifold endowed with a Riemannian metric α, and an α-orthogonal decomposition of the tangent bundle $TM = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$, where $\mathcal{V}|_1$ and $\mathcal{V}|_2$ are two linear subbundles with dimensions n_1, n_2 respectively, and $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{\mathcal{V}_i}$ $i = 1, 2$ are naturally viewed as functions on TM. An (α_1, α_2)-metric on M is a Finsler metric F which can be written as $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$. We usually say that F is an (α_1, α_2)-metric with a dimension decomposition (n_1, n_2). An (α_1, α_2)-metric can also be represented as $F = \alpha\phi(\alpha_2/\alpha) = \alpha\psi(\alpha_1/\alpha)$, in which $\phi(s) = \psi(\sqrt{1 - s^2})$. The condition for an (α_1, α_2)-metric to be smooth and strongly convex can be found in [10].

Notice that in [10], we generally require that $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ in the definition of an (α_1, α_2)-metric, since otherwise it is (locally) a reversible (α, β)-metric. However, in this paper we will consider the related problems without this assumption.

2.2 S-curvature and mean Cartan tensor

Let (M, F) be an n-dimensional Finsler space and $\{x = (x^i) \in M, y = y^j\partial_{x^j} \in TM_x\}$ be a local standard coordinate system on an open subset of TM. The Busemann-Hausdorff volume form can be represented as $dV_{BH} = \sigma(x)dx^1 \cdots dx^n$, where

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{\omega_n}{\mathrm{Vol}\{(y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n | F(x, y^i\partial_{x^i}) < 1\}},$$

in which Vol denotes the volume of a subset with respect to the standard Euclidian metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\omega_n = \mathrm{Vol}(B_n(1))$. It is easily seen that the Busemann-Hausdorff form is globally defined and does not depend on the specific coordinate system. On the other hand, although the coefficient function $\sigma(x)$ is only locally defined which depends on the choice of local coordinates $x = (x^i)$, the distortion function

$$\tau(x, y) = \ln \frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x, y))}}{\sigma(x)} \tag{2.1}$$

on $TM\backslash 0$ is independent of the local coordinates and globally defined.

The S-curvature $S(x, y)$ on $TM\backslash 0$ is defined as the derivative of $\tau(x, y)$ in the direction of the geodesic spray, which is also a globally defined vector field on $TM\backslash 0$. On a local coordinate system, the geodesic spray can be expressed as $G = y^i\partial_{x^i} - 2G^i\partial_{y^i}$, where

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4}g^{il}([F^2]_{x^k y^l}y^k - [F^2]_{x^k}).$$

The derivatives of $\tau(x, y)$ in the y-directions define another non-Riemannian curvature called mean Cartan tensor. On the same local coordinate system as above, it can be written as

$$I_y(u) = u^i\partial_{y^i} \ln \sqrt{\det(g_{pq}(y))}, \quad u = u^i\partial_{y^i}.$$

The Deicke's Theorem asserts that a Finsler metric F is Riemannian if and only if the mean Cartan tensor vanishes identically [7].

2.3 Landsberg metric and Berwald metric

A Finsler metric F on M is called a Landsberg metric, if on any local coordinate system $x = (x^i) \in M$ and $y = y^j \partial_{x^j} \in TM$, the geodesic spray coefficients G^is satisfy the following equations:

$$y^j g_{ij} [G^i]_{y^p y^q y^r} = 0, \quad \forall p, q, r.$$

The metric F is called a Berwald metric, if on any local coordinates, the geodesic spray coefficients G^is are quadratic functions of y-coordinates in each tangent space, namely,

$$[G^i]_{y^p y^q y^r} = 0, \quad \forall p, q, r.$$

It is obvious that a Berwald space must be a Landsberg space. The problem whether there exists a non-Berwalidan Landsberg space has been one of the longest standing and most difficult problems in Finsler geometry. The reason to study Landsberg spaces and Berwald spaces is that they possess very good geometric properties. For example, on a Berwald space parallel transformations define linear isometries between the tangent spaces. Meanwhile, on a Landsberg space parallel transformations define isometries among the Riemannian manifolds $T_x M \backslash \{0\}$, $x \in M$, endowed with the Riemannian metric defined by the Hessian matrix (g_{ij}) (see for example, [6]).

3 Normal coordinates for (α_1, α_2)-metrics

In this section we define normal coordinates for (α_1, α_2)-spaces and study the behavior of the relevant geometric quantities of an (α_1, α_2)-space on normal coordinates. Note that on a general Finsler space there does not exist normal coordinates as on a Riemannian manifold (see [4]). However, since (α_1, α_2)-metrics are closely related to Riemannian metrics, one can apply this useful tool to the more general case.

Let $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ be an n-dimensional non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2)-metric on a manifold M. Then we have a Riemannian metric α, an α-decomposition bundle decomposition $TM = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$, where $\mathcal{V}_i$ is a n_i-dimensional vector bundle, and $\alpha_i = \alpha|_{\mathcal{V}_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, are naturally viewed as functions on TM. The α-orthogonal projection from TM to $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ are denoted as pr_1 and pr_2 respectively.

Given $p \in M$, we can find a local coordinate system $x = (x^i)$ and correspondingly $y = y^j \partial_{x^j} \in TM$ on an open subset around p satisfying the following conditions:

1. All the Christopher symbols of the Levi-Civita connection of α vanish at $p = (0, \ldots, 0)$.

2. The subspace $(\mathcal{V}_1)_p$ is linearly spanned by ∂_{x^i}, $i = 1, \ldots, n_1$, and $(\mathcal{V}_2)_p$ is linearly spanned by ∂_{x^i}, $i = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n$.

3. Restricted to $T_p M$, the functions $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ can be written as $\alpha^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^i)^2$, $\alpha_1^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (y^i)^2$ and $\alpha_2^2 = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n} (y^i)^2$.

A local coordinate system satisfying the above conditions will be called a normal chart at p for the (α_1, α_2)-metric F.

Now fix a normal coordinate chart at p. Denote $\alpha_1^2(x) = a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$ and $\alpha_2^2(x) = b_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$. Then there exist smooth coefficient functions $f_i^j(x)$ and $\tilde{f}_j^i(x)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n_1$, $j = n_1+1, \ldots, n$, defined on an open subset containing p, such that $(\mathcal{V}_1)_x$ is linearly spanned by $\{\partial_{x^i} + f_i^j(x)\partial_{x^j} | 1 \le i \le n_1\}$, and $(\mathcal{V}_2)_x$ is linearly spanned by $\{\partial_{x^j} + \tilde{f}_j^i(x)\partial_{x^i} | n_1+1 \le j \le n\}$ for any x near p. Since all these coefficient functions vanish at p, and $\alpha^2(x) = (a_{ij}(x) + b_{ij}(x))y^iy^j = (\delta_{ij} + o(|x|))y^iy^j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{pr}_1\partial_{x^i} &= \partial_{x^i} + \sum_{l=n_1+1}^{n} f_i^l\partial_{x^l} + o(|x|),\\
\mathrm{pr}_1\partial_{x^j} &= -\sum_{l=1}^{n_1} \tilde{f}_j^l\partial_{x^l} + o(|x|),\\
\mathrm{pr}_2\partial_{x^i} &= -\sum_{l=n_1+1}^{n} f_i^l\partial_{x^l} + o(|x|),\\
\mathrm{pr}_2\partial_{x^j} &= \partial_{x^j} + \sum_{l=1}^{n_1} \tilde{f}_j^l\partial_{x^l} + o(|x|),
\end{aligned}$$

for $i \le n_1$ and $j > n_1$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ are orthogonal with each other at any point, we get immediately that

$$f_i^j(x) = -\tilde{f}_j^i(x) + o(|x|).$$

A direct calculation then shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ij}(x) &= \langle \mathrm{pr}_1\partial_{x^i}, \mathrm{pr}_1\partial_{x^j}\rangle_x = a_{ij}(p) + o(|x|),\\
b_{ij}(x) &= \langle \mathrm{pr}_2\partial_{x^i}, \mathrm{pr}_2\partial_{x^j}\rangle_x = b_{ij}(p) + o(|x|),
\end{aligned}$$

for $i \le j \le n_1$ or $n_1 < i \le j$, and that

$$b_{ij}(x) = \langle \mathrm{pr}_2\partial_{x^i}, \mathrm{pr}_2\partial_{x^j}\rangle_x = -f_i^j + o(|x|),$$

for $i \le n_1 < j$.

The calculation above can be summarized as the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Keep all notations above for the normal chart at p for the (α_1, α_2)-norm. Then we have*

$$[\partial_{x^k}a_{ij}](p) = -[\partial_{x^k}b_{ij}](p),$$

$$[\partial_{x^k}b_{ij}](p) = 0, \ \textit{for } i \le j \le n_1 \textit{ or } n_1 < i \le j,$$

$$[\partial_{x^k}b_{ij}](p) = -[\partial_{x^k}f_i^j](p) = [\partial_{x^k}\tilde{f}_j^i], \ \textit{for } i \le n_1 < j.$$

On a normal chart at a point p, the constants $[\partial_{x^k}b_{ij}](p)$ for $i \le n_1 < j$ (or $j \le n_1 < i$) contain the information of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ which is crucial in the following study. For example, we have the following criterion for Berwald (α_1, α_2)-metrics on normal charts.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ be an (α_1, α_2)-metric and keep all the notations as above. If at any point $p \in M$, there exists a normal chart such that $[\partial_{x^k} b_{ij}](p) = 0$ for $i \leq n_1$ and $j > n_1$, then F is a Berwald metric.*

The proof is a direction calculation. Just note that the condition that $[\partial_{x^k} b_{ij}](p) = 0$ for $i \leq n_1$ and $j > n_1$ implies that $[\partial_{x^k} b_{ij}](p) = 0$, $\forall i, j, k$. Therefore on a normal chart at p, we have

$$[F^2]_{x^i}(p, y) = (L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2) - L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2))[\partial_{x^i} b_{jk}](p) = 0$$

and

$$G^i(p, y) = \frac{1}{4} g^{il}([F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l})(p, y) = 0.$$

Since a change of local coordinates will only cause a change of the spray coefficients G^i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, by quadratic functions of the y-variables, we conclude that the geodesic spray coefficients are quadratic functions of the coordinates. Therefore F is a Berwald metric.

Locally, an (α_1, α_2)-metric satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 only when $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ are integrable and α-parallel, that is, at any point p, the integration submanifolds of $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ provide a local Riemannian product decomposition $U = U_1 \times U_2$ for the Riemannian metric α, where U is an open subset containing p. We will see later that, any non-Riemannian Landsberg (α_1, α_2)-metric (which is in fact a Berwald metric) must have such a local product decomposition.

The next two examples will be very useful for our proof of the main theorems.

Example 3.3 *We first consider an (α_1, α_2)-metric on $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}$. Let α be the standard flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ be the tangent sub-bundles of $T(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\})$ spanned by ∂_θ and ∂_r of the polar coordinates, respectively. Denote the restrictions of α to $\mathcal{V}_i$ as α_i, $i = 1, 2$. Then the function $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ defines a (α_1, α_2)-metric on $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}$. It is Riemannian if and only if L is a linear function. It is easy to check that, on a normal chart at $p \in \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}$ for F, we have $[\partial_{x^1} b_{12}](p) \neq 0$ and $[\partial_{x^2} b_{12}](p) = 0$.*

Example 3.4 *As another example, let us consider an (α_1, α_2)-metric on $SU(2) \cong S^3$. Let α be the standard metric of constant curvature on S^3 and $\mathcal{V}_2$ the sub-bundle of TS^3 generated by the actions $Q \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{-1}t, -\sqrt{-1}t)Q$ for $Q \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The integration curves of $\mathcal{V}_2$ are fibers of the Hopf map. Let $\mathcal{V}_1$ be the α-orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{V}_2$ and define the functions α_1 and α_2 accordingly. Then one can define an (α_1, α_2)-metric by $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$, where L is a smooth function. If L is not a linear function, then F is non-Riemannian. On a normal chart at $p \in S^3$, the corresponding function $\alpha_2^2 = b_{ij} y^i y^j$ satisfies the conditions*

$$[\partial_{x^1} b_{13}](p) = [\partial_{x^2} b_{23}](p) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[\partial_{x^3} b_{13}](p) = [\partial_{x^3} b_{23}](p) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$[\partial_{x^1} b_{23}](p) = -[\partial_{x^2} b_{13}](p) \neq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By the homogeneity of F, we only need to check the above identities at $p = e$. A normal chart at e for F is given by

$$\exp : (x^1, x^2, x^3) \mapsto \exp \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1}x^3 & x^1 + \sqrt{-1}x^2 \\ -x^1 + \sqrt{-1}x^2 & -\sqrt{-1}x^3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

On an open subset containing e, the integration curves of $\mathcal{V}_2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1}x^1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\sqrt{-1}x^1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \exp \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1}x^3 & x_1 + \sqrt{-1}x_2 \\ -x_1 + \sqrt{-1}x_2 & -\sqrt{-1}x^3 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \quad & \exp \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1}(x^3 + tf_3) & (x_1 + tf_1) + \sqrt{-1}(x_2 + tf_2) \\ -(x_1 + tf_1) + \sqrt{-1}(x_2 + tf_2) & -\sqrt{-1}(x^3 + tf_3) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Differentiating (3.6) with respect to t, and letting $t = 0$, we can express the unit vector field $\vec{v} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)|_{t=0}$ generating $\mathcal{V}_2$ in the normal chart at e as

$$\vec{v}(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (-x^2, x^3, 1) + o(|x|),$$

from which the identities follow.

4 S-curvature of (α_1, α_2)-metrics

Let $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ be an (α_1, α_2)-metric on M, with a dimension decomposition (n_1, n_2). In this section we will calculate the S-curvature of F at the given pair $(p, \mathbf{y}) \in TM_p$. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathbf{y}$ is not contained in $V_1 \cap V_2$ and $\alpha(\mathbf{y}) = 1$.

We choose a normal chart for F at p with coordinates $x = (x^i)$, such that $p = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (a, 0 \ldots, 0, a')$. For simplicity, we denote $A_1 = [\partial_{x^1} b_{1n}](p)$ and $A_2 = [\partial_{x^n} b_{1n}](p)$. We keep all the notations for the derivatives of L as in [10]. For example, $L_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $L_2(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the two partial derivatives of L, L_1 and L_2 are their values at (a^2, a'^2) respectively. Partial derivatives of L with higher degrees are denoted similarly.

It is easy to see that, at $(p, \mathbf{y})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x^l} b_{ij} y^i y^j y^l &= 2a^2 a' A_1 + 2a a'^2 A_2, \\ \partial_{x^l} b_{1j} y^j y^l &= a a' A_1 + a'^2 A_2, \\ \partial_{x^l} b_{nj} y^j y^l &= a^2 A_1 + a a' A_2, \\ \partial_{x^1} b_{ij} y^i y^j &= 2a a' A_1, \\ \partial_{x^n} b_{ij} y^i y^j &= 2a a' A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, It is easy to calculate the following derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
[F^2]_{y^i} &= 2y^i L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{ when } i \le n_1,\\
[F^2]_{y^i} &= 2y^i L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{ when } i > n_1,\\
[F^2]_{y^i y^i} &= 2L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2) + 4(y^i)^2 L_{11}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{when } i \le n_1,\\
[F^2]_{y^i y^i} &= 2L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2) + 4(y^i)^2 L_{22}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{when } i > n_1,\\
[F^2]_{y^i y^j} &= 4y^i y^j L_{11}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{when } i < j \le n_1\\
[F^2]_{y^i y^j} &= 4y^i y^j L_{22}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{when } i > j > n_1,\\
[F^2]_{y^i y^j} &= 4y^i y^j L_{12}(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2), \text{when } i \le n_1 < j,
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the coefficients of the fundamental tensor at $\mathbf{y}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{11} &= L_1 + 2a^2 L_{11},\\
g_{nn} &= L_2 + 2a'^2 L_{22},\\
g_{1n} &= 2aa' L_{12},\\
g_{ii} &= L_1, \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, n_1,\\
g_{ii} &= L_2, \ \forall i = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}$$

with all other $g_{ij} = 0$. The inverse matrix of the Hessian matrix can be determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{11} &= \frac{L_2 + 2a'^2 L_{22}}{L_1 L_2 - 2L L_{12}},\\
g^{nn} &= \frac{L_1 + 2a^2 L_{11}}{L_1 L_2 - 2L L_{12}},\\
g^{1n} &= \frac{-2aa' L_{12}}{L_1 L_2 - 2L L_{12}},\\
g^{ii} &= L_1^{-1}, \ \forall i = 2, \ldots, n_1,\\
g^{ii} &= L_2^{-1}, \ \forall i = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}$$

with all other $g^{ij} = 0$.

To calculate the coefficients of the mean Cartan torsion, we need to determine the coefficients of the Cartan tensor. A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{111} &= 3aL_{11} + 2a^3 L_{111},\\
C_{nn1} &= aL_{12} + 2aa'^2 L_{221},\\
C_{n11} &= a' L_{12} + 2a^2 a' L_{112},\\
C_{nnn} &= 3a' L_{22} + 2a'^3 L_{222},\\
C_{ii1} &= aL_{11}, \ \forall i = 2, \ldots, n_1,\\
C_{iin} &= a' L_{12}, \ \forall i = 2, \ldots, n_1,\\
C_{ii1} &= aL_{12}, \ \forall i = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n,\\
C_{iin} &= a' L_{22}, \ \forall i = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}$$

with all other C_{ijk} vanishing at $\mathbf{y}$. It follows that the coefficients $I_k = [\ln \sqrt{\det(g_{pq})}]_{y^k}$ of the mean Cartan torsion vanish at $\mathbf{y}$ except

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{-LL_{12} - 2a^2LL_{112}}{a(L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{aL_{11}}{L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{aL_{12}}{L_2}, \\ I_n &= \frac{-LL_{12} - 2a'^2LL_{122}}{a'(L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{a'L_{12}}{L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{a'L_{22}}{L_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the facts that $aI_1 + a'I_n = 0$ and that

$$(g^{il}(\mathbf{y})u_i) = \frac{1}{L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12}}(a'L_2, 0, \ldots, 0, -aL_1),$$

where $u = (u^i) = (a', 0, \ldots, 0, -a)$, one easily deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} I^l &= 0, \text{ for } l \neq 1, n, \\ I^1 &= (\frac{-LL_{12} - 2a^2LL_{112}}{a(L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{aL_{11}}{L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{aL_{12}}{L_2})\frac{L_2}{L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12}}, \\ I^n &= (\frac{-LL_{12} - 2a'^2LL_{122}}{a'(L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{a'L_{12}}{L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{a'L_{22}}{L_2})\frac{L_1}{L_1L_2 - LL_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

4.1 Calculation of G_l

Denote $G_l = \frac{1}{4}([F^2]_{x^ky^l}y^k - [F^2]_{x^l})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [F^2]_{x^l} &= L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)(\alpha_1^2)_{x^l} + L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)(\alpha_2^2)_{x^l} \\ &= L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}a_{ij}y^iy^j + L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}b_{ij}y^iy^j, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [F^2]_{x^ky^l}y^k &= (L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^k}a_{ij}y^iy^j + L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^k}b_{ij}y^iy^j)_{y^l}y^k \\ &= \partial_{y^l}L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^k}a_{ij}y^iy^jy^k + \partial_{y^l}L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^k}b_{ij}y^iy^jy^k \\ &+ 2L_1(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^k}a_{jl}y^jy^k + 2L_2(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^k}b_{jl}y^jy^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\mathbf{y}) &= aa'L_{12}A_1 + \frac{1}{2}a'^2(L_2 - L_1 + 2L_{12})A_2, \\ G_n(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}a^2(L_2 - L_1 - 2L_{12})A_1 - aa'L_{12}A_2. \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(p, \mathbf{y}) &= [y^l\partial_{x^l}\tau](p, \mathbf{y}) - 2I^lG_l \\ &= [y^l\partial_{x^l}\tau](p, \mathbf{y}) - \Phi(\mathbf{y})(aL_1A_1 + a'L_2A_2) + \Psi(\mathbf{y})(aA_1 + a'A_2), \quad (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{L}{L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12}}\left[\frac{-LL_{12} - 2a^2LL_{112}}{aa'(L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{aL_{11}}{a'L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{aL_{12}}{a'L_2}\right]$$

and

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{-LL_{12} - 2a^2LL_{112}}{aa'(L_1L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{aL_{11}}{a'L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{aL_{12}}{a'L_2}.$$

4.2 Calculation of $\partial_{x^l}\tau$

On a normal chart (x^i) at p for F, the infinitesimal change of the Riemannian metric α at p vanishes in any direction, and the infinitesimal changes of the indicatrix of F around p belong to $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ with respect to $\alpha(p,\cdot)$. The function

$$\sigma(x)=\frac{\omega_n}{\mathrm{Vol}\{(y^i)\in\mathbb{R}^n|F(y^i\partial_{x^i})\le 1)\}}$$

in the Busemann-Hausedorff volumn form $\sigma(x)dx^1\cdots dx^n$ has a critical point at p, so,

$$[y^l\partial_{x^l}\sigma(x)](p,\mathbf{y})=0.$$

Next we calculate $[y^l\partial_{x^l}\ln\sqrt{\det(g_{pq})}](p,\mathbf{y})$. A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
[F^2]_{x^l} &= L_1(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}a_{ij}y^iy^j+L_2(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}b_{ij}y^iy^j,\\
[F^2]_{x^ly^i} &= 2L_{11}(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}a_{jk}y^iy^jy^k+2L_{12}(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}b_{jk}y^iy^jy^k\\
&+ 2L_1(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}a_{ij}y^j+2L_2(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}b_{ij}y^j,\ \text{if } i\le n_1,\\
[F^2]_{x^ly^i} &= 2L_{12}(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}a_{jk}y^iy^jy^k+2L_{22}(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}b_{jk}y^iy^jy^k\\
&+ 2L_1(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}a_{ij}y^j+2L_2(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)\partial_{x^l}b_{ij}y^j,\ \text{if } i>n_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Take the derivatives with respect to y^i again and evaluate at $(p,\mathbf{y})$. Then from the fact $[\partial_{x^j}a_{ii}](p)=-[\partial_{x^j}b_{ii}](p)=0$, we deduce that, at $(p,\mathbf{y})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
y^l\partial_{x^l}g_{ii} &= -\frac{2a}{a'}L_{11}(aA_1+a'A_2),\ \text{if } 1<i\le n_1,\\
y^l\partial_{x^l}g_{ii} &= -\frac{2a}{a'}L_{12}(aA_1+a'A_2),\ \text{if } n_1<i<n,\\
y^l\partial_{x^l}g_{11} &= (4aa'L_{112}+(\frac{2a'^3}{a}+6aa')L_{12})(aA_1+a'A_2),\\
y^l\partial_{x^l}g_{nn} &= (\frac{4a^3}{a'}L_{112}+(\frac{4a}{a'}-6aa'-\frac{2a^3}{a'})L_{12})(aA_1+a'A_2),\\
y^l\partial_{x^l}g_{1n} &= (-4a^2L_{112}-4a^2L_{12}+L_2-L_1)(aA_1+a'A_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then a direct calculation shows that

$$[y^l\partial_{x^i}\ln\sqrt{\det(g_{pq})}](p,\mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{2}[y^lg^{ij}\partial_{x^l}g_{ij}](p,\mathbf{y})=-\Psi(\mathbf{y})(aA_1+a'A_2),$$

from which we get

$$S(p,\mathbf{y})=-\Phi(\mathbf{y})(aL_1A_1+a'L_2A_2).$$

Now we summarize the above calculations to get the formula of S-curvature. We Keep all notations of the derivatives of L as above.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $F=\sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2,\alpha_2^2)}$ be an (α_1,α_2)-metric on M. Given a normal chart for F at $p\in M$, such that $\mathbf{y}=(a,0,\ldots,0,a')\in T_pM$ with $a\neq 0$, $a'\neq 0$ and $a^2+a'^2=1$, the S-curvature $S(p,\mathbf{y})$ is given by*

$$S(p,\mathbf{y})=-\Phi(\mathbf{y})(aL_1A_1+a'L_2A_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= [\partial_{x^1} b_{1n}](p), \\ A_2 &= [\partial_{x^n} b_{1n}](p), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{L}{L_1 L_2 - 2LL_{12}} \left[\frac{-LL_{12} - 2a^2 LL_{112}}{aa'(L_1 L_2 - 2LL_{12})} + (n_1 - 1)\frac{aL_{11}}{a'L_1} + (n_2 - 1)\frac{aL_{12}}{a'L_2} \right].$$

4.3 Non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2)-metrics with vanishing S-curvature

The formula of S-curvature in Theorem 4.1 implies that for a non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2)-metric, the condition for S-curvature to be vanishing identically is only relevant to Riemannian metric α and the α-orthogonal decomposition of TM, rather than the function L in the definition of the metric. This fact can be summarized as the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Let F be a non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2)-metric with vanishing S-curvature. Then for any normal chart at any point p, we have*

$$[\partial_{x^i} b_{jk}](p) + [\partial_{x^j} b_{ik}](p) = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

where $i \leq j \leq n_1 < k$ or $k \leq n_1 < i \leq j$.

Conversely, if for any point $p \in M$ and any normal coordinate chart at p, (4.8) holds for $i = j$, then the S-curvature of F is vanishing everywhere.

Proof. We first use the S-curvature formula to prove that $[\partial_{x^1} b_{1n}](p) = [\partial_{x^n} b_{1n}](p) = 0$, i.e., $A_1 = A_2 = 0$. Assume conversely that this is not true. Notice that a linear change of x-variable from $\mathrm{O}(n_1) \times \mathrm{O}(n_2)$ change a normal chart at p for F to another normal chart. Hence one can change the signs of A_1 and A_2 such that $A_1 \geq 0$ and $A_2 \geq 0$, by a suitable change of x-variables of an orthogonal action which keeps all entries except that it may change the sign of the first or the last one. If $a > 0$ and $a' > 0$, then $L_1 > 0$ and $L_2 > 0$. So from the condition that S-curvature vanishes identically, it follows that $\Phi(y)$ is constantly 0. This implies that the mean Cartan tensor vanishes everywhere. Hence F is Riemannian, which is a contradiction. Thus $\partial_{x^1} b_{1n} = \partial_{x^n} b_{1n} = 0$ at p. Changing the x-variables with an orthogonal action which rotates the plane of the first two entries by $\pi/4$, and keeping all other entries, we can then show that $\partial_{x^2} b_{1n} + \partial_{x^1} b_{2n} = 0$ at p. By applying some similar arguments, one can prove (4.8) for other cases. The last assertion follows directly from the formula of the S-curvature. ■

5 The Landsberg equation and local homogeneity

In this section we will define the Landsberg equation of a Finsler space and deduce some important results which is useful for the proof of the main results of this paper.

5.1 The Landsberg equation

We first define the Landsberg equation for a Minkowski norm.

Let F be a Minkowski norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. With respect to the standard basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the Hessian matrix $(g_{ij}(y)) = \left(\frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j}\right)$ and its inverse are defined for the linear coordinates $y = y^i e_i$.

Given a vector valued function $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where the functions f_i are smooth and positively homogeneous of degree 2, we define

$$G^i(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{4} g^{il}(y^k \partial_{y^l} f_k - f_l).$$

The Landsberg equation for the Minkowski norm F is the following linear system of PDEs,

$$y^j g_{ij}[G^i(\mathbf{f})]_{y^p y^q y^r} = 0, \quad p, q, r = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Let $\xi = (\xi_i^j)$ be an isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\xi e_i = \xi_i^j e_j$, and $\eta = (\eta_i^j)$ the inverse of ξ with $\eta e_i = \eta_i^j e_j$. Then we define the action of ξ on $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ as $(\xi \mathbf{f})(y) = (\xi_1^i f_i(y'), \ldots, \xi_n^i f_i(y'))$, where $y = y^i e_i$ and $y' = \xi y = \xi_j^i y^j e_i$.

The following lemma is the key observation of this paper.

Lemma 5.1 *If the linear isomorphism ξ preserves the Minkowski norm F, then the action of ξ preserves the solution space of the Landsberg equation of F.*

Proof. First note that for $\xi = (\xi_i^j)$, the linear functions $\tilde{y}^i = \xi_j^i y^j$ defines another linear coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We denote the Hessian matrix of F with respect to $\tilde{y}^i$ as $(\tilde{g}_{ij})$, and its inverse as $(\tilde{g}^{ij})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= \xi_i^k \xi_j^l \tilde{g}_{kl}, \quad \tilde{g}_{kl} = \eta_k^i \eta_l^j g_{ij}, \\ g^{ij} &= \eta_k^i \eta_l^j \tilde{g}^{kl}, \quad \tilde{g}^{kl} = \xi_i^k \xi_j^l g^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

If the Minkowski norm F is preserved by ξ, i.e., if $F^2(y') = F^2(y)$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y' = \xi y$, then we have $F^2(\tilde{y}^1, \ldots, \tilde{y}^n) = F^2(y^1, \ldots, y^n)$ when we write F as a function of n variables. Taking the second order partial derivatives with respect to $\tilde{y}^i$ and $\tilde{y}^j$, we get

$$g_{ij}(y') = \eta_i^k \eta_j^l g_{kl}(y) = \tilde{g}_{ij}(y),$$

and $\tilde{g}^{ij}(y) = g^{ij}(y')$, $\forall i, j$. Then for any vector valued function $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^i(\xi \mathbf{f})(y) &= \frac{1}{4} g^{il}(y)(\partial_{y^l}(\xi_k^m f_m(y'))y^k - \xi_l^h f_h(y')) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} g^{il}(y) \xi_l^h (\tilde{y}^m \partial_{\tilde{y}^h} f_m(y') - f_h(y')) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \eta_k^i \tilde{g}^{kh}(y)(\partial_{\tilde{y}^h}(f_m(y'))\tilde{y}^m - f_h(y')) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \eta_k^i g^{kh}(y')(\partial_{\tilde{y}^h}(f_m(y'))\tilde{y}^m - f_h(y')) \\ &= \eta_k^i G^k(\mathbf{f})(y'). \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{f}$ is a solution of the Landsberg equation of F, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^j g_{ij}[G^i(g\mathbf{f})]_{y^p y^q y^r} &= \tilde{y}^j \eta^l_j g_{il}(y)[\eta^i_k G(\mathbf{f})(y')]_{y^p y^q y^r} \\ &= \tilde{y}^j \tilde{g}_{jk}(y)[G^k(\mathbf{f})(y')]_{y^p y^q y^r} \\ &= \xi^{\bar{p}}_p \xi^{\bar{q}}_q \xi^{\bar{r}}_r [\tilde{y}^j g_{jk}(y')[G^k(\mathbf{f})]_{y^{\bar{p}} t^{\bar{q}} y^{\bar{r}}}(y')] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\xi\mathbf{f}$ is also a solution of the Landsberg equation of F. ■

Now we define the Landsberg equation of a Finsler space (M, F). Let p be any point on M with a local coordinates system $x = (x^i)$. Then in T_pM endowed with the Minkowski norm $F(p, \cdot)$ and the y-coordinates $y = y^j \partial_{x^j}$, we can define the Landsberg equation as above, which will be called the Landsberg equation of F at p. In the most important case that $\mathbf{f}(y) = \big([F^2]_{x^1}(p, y), \ldots, [F^2]_{x^n}(p, y)\big)$, $G^i(\mathbf{f})$s are the geodesic spray coefficients, and the Landsberg equation is the condition for F to be a Landsberg metric at p.

We have thus proved the following

Proposition 5.2 *Let (M, F) be a Finsler space, $p \in M$, and $x = (x^i)$ a local coordinate system at p. Then we have*

(1) *The Landsberg equation at p is linear.*

(2) *The solution space of the Landsberg equation at p is preserved under the action of the group $L(T_pM, F(p, \cdot))$ of linear isometries of $(T_p(M), F(p, \dot{}))$.*

(3) *If (M, F) is a Landsberg space, then $\mathbf{f}(y) = ([F^2]_{x^1}(p, y), \ldots, [F^2]_{x^n}(p, y))$ is a solution of the Landsberg equation at any p.*

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ is a non-Riemannian Landsberg (α_1, α_2)-metric on the n-dimensional manifold M with dimension decomposition $n = n_1 + n_2$ and the decomposition of tangent bundle $TM = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$.

Let $\mathbf{y} \in T_pM \backslash (\mathcal{V}_{1p} \cup \mathcal{V}_{2p})$. By the formula of S-curvature, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately if we can prove that $S(p, \mathbf{y}) = 0$.

It is easily seen that there exists a normal chart $x = (x^i)$ for F at p such that the y-coordinates of $\mathbf{y}$ are all 0 except y^1 and y^n. It is not hard to see that, with respect to the linear coordinates $y = y^j \partial_{x^j}$, we have

$$\mathrm{O}(n_1) \times \mathrm{O}(n_2) \subset L(T_pM, F(p, \cdot)) \subset \mathrm{O}(n).$$

We now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *Keep all the notations as above. Then on the plane defined by $y^2 = \cdots = y^{n-1} = 0$, the vector valued function $\mathbf{f} = ([F^2]_{x^1}(p, \cdot), 0, \ldots, 0)$ is a solution of the following equation:*

$$\sum_{i,j \in \{1,n\}} y^j g_{ij}[G^i(\mathbf{f})]_{y^n y^n y^n} = 0, \tag{6.9}$$

where

$$G^1(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{4}g^{11}(y^1\partial_{y^1}f_1 - f_1) + \frac{1}{4}g^{1n}y^1\partial_{y^n}f_1,$$

$$G^n(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}) = \frac{1}{4}g^{n1}(y^1\partial_{y^1}f_1 - f_1) + \frac{1}{4}g^{nn}y^1\partial_{y^n}f_1.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, $\mathbf{f}_0(y) = ([F^2]_{x^1}(p,y), \dots, [F^2]_{x^n}(p,y))$ is a solution of the Landsberg equation for F at p. Let ξ be the orthogonal map which changes the signs of the all entries except the first and the last ones. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f}_0 + \xi\mathbf{f}_0)$ is a solution of the Landsberg equation for F with respect to the same normal chart at p. Restricted to the plane defined by $y^2 = \cdots = y^{n-1} = 0$, we have $\xi y = y$. Thus $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = (\tilde{f}_1, 0, \dots, 0, \tilde{f}_n)$, where $\tilde{f}_1(y) = [F^2]_{x^1}(p,y)$ and $\tilde{f}_n(y) = [F^2]_{x^n}(p,y)$ for $y = (y^1, 0, \dots, 0, y^n)$. Now on the subspace defined by $y^2 = \cdots = y^{n-1} = 0$, the Landsberg equation implies that

$$\sum_{i,j\in\{1,n\}} y^j g_{ij}[G^i(\tilde{\mathbf{f}})]_{y^n y^n y^n} = 0, \tag{6.10}$$

in which

$$G^1(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}) = \frac{1}{4}g^{11}(y^1\partial_{y^1}\tilde{f}_1 + y^n\partial_{y^1}\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_1) + \frac{1}{4}g^{1n}(y^1\partial_{y^n}\tilde{f}_1 + y^n\partial_{y^n}\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_n),$$

$$G^n(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}) = \frac{1}{4}g^{n1}(y^1\partial_{y^1}\tilde{f}_1 + y^n\partial_{y^1}\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_1) + \frac{1}{4}g^{nn}(y^1\partial_{y^n}\tilde{f}_1 + y^n\partial_{y^n}\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_n).$$

The equation (6.9) is linear with respect to the function $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(y)$ of $y = (y^1, 0, \dots, 0, y^n)$. On the other hand, given any vector $y = (y^1, 0, \dots, 0, y^n)$, it is easily seen that

$$\tilde{f}_1 = 2[\partial_{x^1}b_{1n}](p)y^1y^n(L_2((y^1)^2, (y^n)^2) - L_1((y^1)^2, (y^n)^2))$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_n = 2[\partial_{x^n}b_{1n}](p)y^1y^n(L_2((y^1)^2, (y^n)^2) - L_1((y^1)^2, (y^n)^2))$$

are odd functions of y^1. Note that $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}'$, where $\mathbf{f} = (\tilde{f}_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{f}' = (0, \dots, 0, \tilde{f}_n)$ are respectively the y^1-even and y^1-odd parts of the left side of (6.10). Thus by the linearity, both $\mathbf{f}(y)$ and $\mathbf{f}'(y)$ satisfy (6.10) at $y = (y^1, 0, \dots, 0, y^n)$. In particular, the function $\mathbf{f}(y) = ([F^2]_{x^1}(p,y), 0, \dots, 0)$ satisfies the equation (6.10) at $y = (y^1, 0, \dots, 0, y^n)$. ■

Now we consider the case that $[\partial_{x^1}b_{1n}](p) \neq 0$. In this case, we shall use the same non-linear function L as in the proof of the above lemma to define an (α_1, α_2)-metric on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$. Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be the standard flat Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_2$ be the subbundles of $T(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\})$ spanned by ∂_θ and ∂_r with respect to the polar coordinates, respectively, and $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and $\bar{\alpha}_2$ the restriction of $\bar{\alpha}$ on the subbundles $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_2$, respectively. Then $\bar{F} = \sqrt{L(\bar{\alpha}_1^2, \bar{\alpha}_2^2)}$ is a non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2)-metric on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$. It is easy to see that, on the normal chart $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}^i)$ and $\bar{y} = \bar{y}^i\partial_{\bar{x}^i}$ for F' at a

point $\bar{p}$, where $\bar{\alpha}_2^2(\bar{y}) = \bar{b}_{ij}\bar{y}^i\bar{y}^j$, we have $[\partial_{\bar{x}^1}\bar{b}_{12}](\bar{p}) \neq 0$ and $[\partial_{\bar{x}^2}\bar{b}_{12}](\bar{p}) = 0$. Moreover, on a normal chart at any $\bar{p}$, the first factor $\bar{f}_1$ of the vector valued function

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{y}) &= ([\bar{F}^2]_{\bar{x}^1}(\bar{p},\bar{y}), [\bar{F}^2]_{\bar{x}^2}(\bar{p},\bar{y})) \\ &= (\bar{f}_1, 0), \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

is a scalar multiple of the first factor f_1 of $\mathbf{f}$ in Lemma 6.1, with $y = (a, 0, \ldots, 0, a')$ identified with $\bar{y} = (a, a')$. From Lemma 6.1, we see that $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ must satisfy the Landsberg equation for $\bar{F}$ at arbitrary $\bar{p}$. So $\bar{F}$ is also a Landsberg metric. However, we will show that this is impossible in Section 8.

Therefore we have $[\partial_{x^1} b_{1n}](p) = 0$. Using a similar argument, one can prove that $[\partial_{x^n} b_{1n}](p) = 0$. By the formula of the S-curvature, we have $S(p, \mathbf{y}) = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. As before, we only need to deal with nonlinear L, i.e., we can assume that the Landsberg (α_1, α_2)-metric $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ is non-Riemannian everywhere. We will keep all the notations as in the last section.

Since the S-curvature of F vanishes identically, by Theorem 4.2, we have

$$[\partial_{x^i} b_{jk}](p) + [\partial_{x^j} b_{ik}](p) = 0,$$

and

$$[\partial_{x^k} b_{il}](p) + [\partial_{x^l} b_{ik}](p) = 0,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n_1 < k \leq l \leq n$.

To prove the theorem, we need to show that for $i < j \leq n_1 < k$, we have $[\partial_{x^i} b_{jk}](p) = 0$, and for $j \leq n_1 < k < l$, we have $[\partial_{x^l} b_{jk}](p) = 0$. These facts together with other properties of b_{ij}s at p imply that for any i, j, k, we have $[\partial_{x^i} b_{jk}](p) = 0$. Then by Proposition 3.2, we conclude that the metric F is Berwaldian at any point where it is non-Riemannian. Therefore F must be a Berwald metric, and Theorem 1.2 is proved. If $\dim M = 2$, then Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Theorem 1.2. So we can assume that $n = \dim M > 2$, and without loss of generality, that $n_1 \geq 2$.

We will only prove the identity $[\partial_{x^1} b_{2n}](p) = 0$. The other cases can be treated using similar arguments. In the following we will view an $n \times n$ matrix as a linear transformation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and vice versa. Let $\xi \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ be a matrix which change the signs of all entries except the first, the second, and the last ones (e.g., if $n \geq 4$, $\xi = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, -1, \cdots, -1, 1)$). Then the vector valued function $\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f}_0 + \xi\mathbf{f}_0)$, where $\mathbf{f}_0(y) = ([F^2]_{x^1}(p, y), \ldots, [F^2]_{x^n}(p, y))$, is a solution of the Landsberg equation of F at p. The restriction of $\mathbf{f}$ to $y = (y^1, y^2, 0, \ldots, 0, y^n)$ has the form $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, 0, \ldots, 0, f_n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(y) &= [F^2]_{x^1}(p, y) \\ &= 2[\partial_{x^1} b_{2n}](p)(L_2((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2, (y^n)^2) - L_1((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2, (y^n)^2))y^2 y^n, \\ f_2(y) &= [F^2]_{x^2}(p, y) \\ &= 2[\partial_{x^2} b_{1n}](p)(L_2((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2, (y^n)^2) - L_1((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2, (y^n)^2))y^1 y^n, \end{aligned}$$

and $f_n(y) = [F^2]_{x^n}(p,y) = 0$. On the other hand, the restriction of the Hessian matrix (g_{ij}) to $y = (y^1, y^2, 0, \ldots, 0, y^n)$ satisfies $g_{ij} \neq 0$, only when $i = j$, or both i and j lie in $\{1, 2, n\}$. The similar assertions hold for the inverse matrix (g^{ij}). Thus we have

$$\sum_{i,j\in\{1,2,n\}} y^j g_{ij} [G^j(\mathbf{f})]_{y^p y^q y^r}, \tag{7.12}$$

for all $p, q, r \in \{1, 2, n\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
G^1(\mathbf{f}) &= \frac{1}{4}g^{11}(y^1\partial_{y^1}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^1}f_2 - f_1) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}g^{12}(y^1\partial_{y^2}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^2}f_2 - f_2) + \frac{1}{4}g^{1n}(y^1\partial_{y^n}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^n}f_2), \\
G^2(\mathbf{f}) &= \frac{1}{4}g^{21}(y^1\partial_{y^1}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^1}f_2 - f_1) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}g^{22}(y^1\partial_{y^2}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^2}f_2 - f_2) + \frac{1}{4}g^{2n}(y^1\partial_{y^n}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^n}f_2), \\
G^n(\mathbf{f}) &= \frac{1}{4}g^{n1}(y^1\partial_{y^1}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^1}f_2 - f_1) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}g^{n2}(y^1\partial_{y^2}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^2}f_2 - f_2) + \frac{1}{4}g^{nn}(y^1\partial_{y^n}f_1 + y^2\partial_{y^n}f_2).
\end{aligned}$$

If $[\partial_{x^1} b_{2n}](p) = -[\partial_{x^2} b_{1n}](p) \neq 0$, then we consider the following (α_1, α_2)-metric on S^3. Let α_0 be the standard Riemannian metric of constant curvature on S^3, $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_2$ be the subbundles defined in Example 3.4, with corresponding $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and $\bar{\alpha}_2$. Then the same function L defines a non-Riemannian $\bar{F} = \sqrt{L(\bar{\alpha}_1^2, \bar{\alpha}_2^2)}$ on S^3. Fix a point $\bar{p} \in S^3$ and a normal chart at $\bar{p}$. Note that $\bar{\alpha}_2^2 = \bar{b}_{ij}\bar{y}^i\bar{y}^j$ satisfies (3.2)-(3.4). Consider the vector valued function

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{f}}_0(\bar{y}) &= ([F^2]_{x^1}(\bar{p}, \bar{y}), [F^2]_{x^2}(\bar{p}, \bar{y}), [F^2]_{x^3}(\bar{p}, \bar{y})) \\
&= (\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the functions $\bar{f}_1$ and $\bar{f}_2$ differ from f_1 and f_2 given above by the same scalar multiplication, if $y = (a_1, a_2, 0, \ldots, 0, a')$ is identified with $\bar{y} = (a_1, a_2, a')$. Thus $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_0$ satisfies the Landsberg equation for $\bar{F}$ at $\bar{p}$, which is the same equations as (7.12). Therefore $\bar{F}$ is a Landsberg metric on S^3. Notice that $\bar{F}$ is a reversible (α, β)-metric. By Proposition 3.2, $\bar{F}$ is a Berwald metric on S^3, and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_2$ is $\bar{\alpha}$-parallel with respect to the Chern connection of $\bar{F}$. This is impossible. Therefore we have $[\partial_{x^1} b_{2n}](p) = 0$. Similarly, we have $[\partial_{x^i} b_{jk}](p) = 0$, for all i, j, k. Consequently F is a Berwald metric. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

8 A special (α_1, α_2)-metric on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$

In this section, we will prove the assertion at the final part of Section 6. For this we consider a special (α_1, α_2)-metric on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$ defined as follows. Let $\alpha(y)$ be the standard flat Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^2$. There are two α-orthogonal subbundles $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ of $T(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\})$, spanned by ∂_θ and ∂_r with respect to the polar coordinates, respectively. Consider a non-Riemannian (α_1, α_2)-metric $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$ with respect

to the above decomposition. For a normal chart for F at a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$, it is easy to see that $[\partial_{x^1} b_{12}](p) \neq 0$ and $[\partial_{x^2} b_{12}](p) = 0$.

We now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8.1 *The (α_1, α_2)-metric defined above can not be a Landsberg metric.*

Proof. Assume conversely that $F = \sqrt{L(\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2)}$ is a Landsberg metric. Then each tangent space can be canonically identified with the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the norm $F_0(y) = \sqrt{L((y^1)^2, (y^2)^2)}$ where the two y-coordinates correspond to the subbundles $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$, respectively. So we have a smooth family of isometries $I_x : (T_xM, F(x, \cdot)) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, F_0)$. The points on the indicatrix of $(\mathbb{R}^2, F_0)$ can be parametrized as Y_t by the angle $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. The anti-clockwise unit tangent vector at U_t, with respect to the Hessian matrix of F_0, will be denoted as Y_t.

Now we construct two subsets $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ of $[0, 2\pi)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_1$ be the set of $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that there exist $x \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$ and a unit tangent vector $y \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\})$ such that $I_{x(s)}\dot{x}(s) \equiv Y_t$, where $x(s)$ is the unit-speed geodesic y. Meanwhile, let $\mathcal{S}_2$ be the set of all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that there exist $x \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$ and a unit tangent vector $y \in T_x(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\})$ such that $I_x y = Y_t$ and $I_{x(s)}\dot{x}(s)$ is not constantly equal to Y_t, where $x(s)$ the unit speed geodesic with initial vector y. Obviously we have $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 = [0, 2\pi)$.

If we denote $I_{x(s)} = Y_{t(s)}$ for the unit-speed geodesic $x(s)$, then $I^{-1}_{x(s)} U_{t(s)}$ is a linear parallel vector field along this geodesic. By the assumption that F is a Landsberg metric, $C_{t(s)}(U_{t(s)}, U_{t(s)}, U_{t(s)})$ is a constant function of s. So $\mathcal{S}_2$ is a union of intervals, such that on each interval $C_t(U_t, U_t, U_t)$ is locally a constant function. By the relation between Cartan tensor and Landsberg tensor, we have $\frac{d}{dt} C_{Y_t}(U_t, U_t, U_t) = 0$, $\forall t_0 \in \mathcal{S}_2$. On the other hand, by the S-curvature formula of Theorem 4.1, and the facts that $A_1 \neq 0$ and $A_2 = 0$, we conclude that $t \in \mathcal{S}_1$ if and only if $\Phi(Y_t) = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{S}_1$ is a closed subset in $[0, 2\pi)$.

If $\mathcal{S}_1 = [0, 2\pi)$, then the coefficient $\Phi(y)$ in the S-curvature formula is constantly 0. This can happen only when the function L defines a Riemannian metric F, which is a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{S}_1 \neq [0, 2\pi)$. Now in any maximal closed interval $\mathcal{U}$ contained in $\mathcal{S}_1$, the equation $\Phi = 0$ gives a real analytic ODE satisfied by L (which can be changed to a function of the angle $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ by its homogeneity). So for $t \in \mathcal{U}$, L is a real analytic function of t. Then $C_{Y_t}(U_t, U_t, U_t)$ is also a real analytic function of t. But at least one end point of $\mathcal{U}$ is approached by intervals from $\mathcal{S}_2$, where $C_{Y_t}(U_t, U_t, U_t)$ is a constant function. So at that end point, $C_{Y_t}(U_t, U_t, U_t)$ has a 0-expansion, which implies that it is also a constant function on $\mathcal{U}$.

Since $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 = [0, 2\pi)$, and $C_{Y_t}(U_t, U_t, U_t)$ is a locally constant function in both subsets, it is a constant function of t. Now a direct computation shows that at any point with at least one y^i equal to 0, the Cartan tensor is equal to 0. Thus $C_{Y_t}(U_t, U_t, U_t) \equiv 0$ for all t. Since the dimension of the Minkowski space is 2, F_0 is a Riemannian norm and F is a Riemannian metric, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma ■

Now we have finished the proof of the assertion at the end of Section 6, concluding the proof of all the results of this paper.

References

[1] G. S. Asanov, *Finsleroid-Finsler spaces of positive-definite and relativistic types*, Rep. Math. Phys., 58 (2006), 275-300.

[2] D. Bao, *On two curvature-driven problems in Finsler geometry*, Adv. Study Pure Math, 48 (2007), Finsler Geometry, Sapporo 2005 - In Memery of Makoto Matsumoto, 19-71.

[3] D. Bao, *Unicorns in Finsler geometry*, In: Proceedings of the 40th symposium On Finsler geometry, Sapporo, Japan, 2005, 19-27.

[4] D. Bao, S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[5] R. Bryant, *Finsler surfaces with prescribed curvature conditions*, preprint, 1995.

[6] S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, *Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, WorldScientific, Singapore, 2004.

[7] A. Deicke, *Über die Finsler-Raume mit* $A_i = 0$, Arch. Math., 4 (1953), 45-61.

[8] S. Deng, *Homogeneous Finsler Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2012.

[9] S. Deng and Z. Hou, *On symmetric Finsler spaces*, Israel J. Math., 166 (2007), 197-219.

[10] S. Deng and Ming Xu, (α_1, α_2)*-metrics and Clifford-Wolf homogeneity*, preprint.

[11] M. Matsumoto, *Remarks on Berwald and Landsberg spaces*, Contemp. Math., 196 (1996), 79-82.

[12] V. S. Matveev, *On "Regular Landsberg metrics are always Berwald" by Z. I. Szabo*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., 14 (2009), no. 2, 50-52.

[13] Z. Shen, *On a class of Landsberg metrics in Finsler geometry*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 61 (2009), 1357-1374.

[14] Z. I. Szabó, *Positive Definite Berwald Spaces*, Tensor, N. S. 38 (1981), 25-39.

[15] Z. I. Szabó, *All regular Landsberg metrics are Berwald*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., 34 (2008), 381-386; correction, ibid, 35 (2009), 227-230.